UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DE	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	V.	0 11 4 40	22442 7244		
	Humberto Castillo-DeLeon Defendant	Case No. 1:12-ci	r-00140-RJJ		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refort efendant be detained pending trial.	m Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142((f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Part I – Find	ings of Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in				
	a federal offense a state or local offense tha existed – that is	t would have been a fede	eral offense if federal jurisdiction had		
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	6(a)(4), or an offense list	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of te	n years or more is presc	ribed in: *		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state o		prior federal offenses described in 18		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invol	ves:			
	the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §		y other dangerous weapon		
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed whi or local offense.	e the defendant was on	release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_ date of conviction	_ defendant's release from prison for the		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presump person or the community. I further find that defendant h				
	Alternative	·			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	• ,			
(/	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:				
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sec		*		
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establi will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and				
	Alternative	O ()			
` '	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear				
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	•	-		
_	Part II – Statement of the				
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the c a preponderance of the evidence that:	detention hearing establis	shes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing		
1. Defen	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to conte	st detention at this time.			
	dant is subject to an immigration detainer and would no		э.		
	dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to t				

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 23, 2012	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	